arXiv:1411.7068v3 [math-ph] 23 Jun 2015

ON THE TRAJECTORIES OF $\mathrm{O}(1)$-KEPLER PROBLEMS

GUOWU MENG

ABSTRACT. The trajectories of the $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level $n \geq 2$ are completely determined. It is found in particular that a non-colliding trajectory is an ellipse, a parabola or a branch of hyperbola according as the total energy is negative, zero or positive. Moreover, it is shown that the group $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{O}(1)$ acts transitively on both the set of oriented elliptic trajectories and the set of oriented parabolic trajectories. The method employed here is similar to the one used by Levi-Civita in the study of planar Kepler problem in 1920.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level $n \geq 2$ is a generalized Kepler problem [1] whose configuration space is the space $\mathcal{C}_1$ of rank one semi-positive elements in the euclidean Jordan algebra $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of real symmetric matrices of order n, with Lagrangian function

$$L = \frac{1}{2}||\dot{x}||^2 + \frac{n}{\mathrm{tr}\, x}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here $\mathrm{tr}\, x$ is the trace of x, hence always positive because $x \in \mathcal{C}_1$. The length square of the velocity vector $\dot{x}$ is not calculated with the euclidean structure on $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$. To describe it, we note that the tangent space $T_x\mathcal{C}_1$ is the subspace $\{x\} \times \mathrm{Range}\, L_x$ of $T_x\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$ where L_x is the Jordan multiplication by x, i.e., $L_x y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$ — the symmetrized matrix product of x with y. We also note that L_x: $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$ maps $\mathrm{Range}\, L_x$ isomorphically onto $\mathrm{Range}\, L_x$, so we have an automorphism $\bar{L}_x$ of $T_x\mathcal{C}_1$. (Both of these two statements can be easily verified by assuming that x is in diagonal form.) By definition

$$||\dot{x}||^2 = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}\, x\ \langle \dot{x}, \bar{L}_x^{-1}(\dot{x})\rangle \tag{1.2}$$

where $\bar{L}_x^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\bar{L}_x$, and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the inner product on $T_x\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$: for (x,u), (x,v) in $T_x\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\langle (x,u),(x,v)\rangle = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}\,(uv) \tag{1.3}$$

where uv is the matrix (or Jordan) multiplication of u with v. Note that the $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level 2 is just the planar Kepler problem.

The bound state analysis for $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problems has been done in Ref. [2]. Here we analyze the trajectories of $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problems. We shall show that a trajectory is always the intersection of $\mathcal{C}_1$ with a real plane inside $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$, consequently, since

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{x \in \mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid x^2 = \mathrm{tr}\, x\, x,\ \mathrm{tr}\, x > 0\}$$

Date: June 24, 2015.

The author was supported by the Hong Hong Research Grants Council under RGC Project No. 16304014 and the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology under DAG S09/10.SC02.

a trajectory must be a quadratic plane curve. In fact, we shall show that a non-colliding trajectory is an ellipse, a parabola or a branch of a hyperbola according as the total energy

$$E = \frac{1}{2}||\dot{x}||^2 - \frac{n}{\operatorname{tr} x} \tag{1.4}$$

is negative, zero or positive. It will also be shown that the group $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{O}(1)$ acts transitively on both the set of elliptic trajectories and the set of parabolic trajectories. (Here $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{O}(1)$ is the quotient group of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ by the normal subgroup consisting of the identity matrix of order n and its negative.) Note that, a trajectory is the path traced by a motion, so it is oriented by the velocity of the motion.

Remark 1.1. *The analogue of Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector for the classical* $\mathrm{O}(1)$*-Kepler problem at level* n *exists and can be obtained via a natural Poisson representation of* $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ *on* $T^*\mathcal{C}_1$*. For more details, please consult* [3, Subsection 4.1].

1.1. **Notations.** If u, v are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we use $u \cdot v$ to denote the dot product of u with v, u^2 to denote $u \cdot u$. If x is a square matrix, we use $\operatorname{tr} x$ to denote the trace of x, and x^2 to denote the matrix multiplication of x with x.

2. Solving equation of motion

The equation of motion for the planar Kepler problem was ingeniously solved in Ref. [4] by transforming the nonlinear equation of motion into a linear ordinary differential equation (ODE). This transformation, referred to as the *Levi-Civita transformation* in the literature, is based on the quadratic map: $z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto z^2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

We shall use an analogous idea to solve the equation of motion for $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problems. The analogous transformation that we shall use, which turns the equation of motion into a linear ODE, is based on the following quadratic map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} q: & \mathbb{R}^n & \to & \mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R}) \\ & X & \mapsto & n\,XX^{\mathrm{t}} \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

where X^{t} is the transpose of the column vector X and XX^{t} is the matrix multiplication of X with X^{t}. The mapping q, when restricted to $\mathbb{R}^n_* := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, becomes a two-to-one covering map onto $\mathcal{C}_1$:

$$\bar{q}: \quad \mathbb{R}^n_* \xrightarrow{2:1} \mathcal{C}_1. \tag{2.2}$$

Consequently the tangent map $T\bar{q}$: $T\mathbb{R}^n_* \to T\mathcal{C}_1$ is also a two-to-one covering map.

Proposition 2.1. *The composition of Lagrangian* L *in Eq.* (1.1) *with the tangent map* $T\bar{q}$*, denoted by* $\tilde{L}$*:* $T\mathbb{R}^n_* \to \mathbb{R}$*, is*

$$\tilde{L} = 2X^2\dot{X}^2 + \frac{1}{X^2}$$

where $X^2 = X \cdot X$ *and* $\dot{X}^2 = \dot{X} \cdot \dot{X}$*. Also,* $\tilde{E} := E \circ T\bar{q}$*:* $T\mathbb{R}^n_* \to \mathbb{R}$ *is given by*

$$\tilde{E} = 2X^2\dot{X}^2 - \frac{1}{X^2}.$$

Proof. It is clear that $\operatorname{tr}(XX^{\mathrm{t}}) = X^2$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(XX^{\mathrm{t}})\,\bar{L}^{-1}_{XX^{\mathrm{t}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(XX^{\mathrm{t}})\right)\right) = 4\dot{X}^2. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $\bar{q}$ is $\mathrm{O}(n)$-equivariant, and both L and $\tilde{L}$ are $\mathrm{O}(n)$-invariant, it suffices to verify the proposition at the point $X = [a, 0, \ldots, 0]^{\mathrm{t}}$ with $a > 0$. Let $\dot{X} = [y_1, \ldots, y_n]^{\mathrm{t}}$. Then

$$XX^{\mathrm{t}} = a^2 \left[\begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right],$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(XX^{\mathrm{t}}) = a \left[\begin{array}{c|ccc} 2y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \\ \hline y_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

and

$$\bar{L}^{-1}_{XX^{\mathrm{t}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(XX^{\mathrm{t}})\right) = \frac{1}{a}\left[\begin{array}{c|ccc} 2y_1 & 2y_2 & \cdots & 2y_n \\ \hline 2y_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 2y_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right].$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(XX^{\mathrm{t}})\ \bar{L}^{-1}_{XX^{\mathrm{t}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(XX^{\mathrm{t}})\right)\right) = 4\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 = 4\dot{X}^2.$$

□

Remark 2.1. *The dynamical problem with configuration space $\mathbb{R}^n_*$ and Lagrangian $\tilde{L}$ in Proposition 2.1 is a conformal Kepler problem in the sense of T. Iwai* [5].

In view of the fact that a smooth covering map is a local diffeomorphism, the following proposition, one of the two reasons for the success of Levi-Civita's approach to planar Kepler problem, is almost evident.

Proposition 2.2. *Let p: $E \to X$ be a covering map from manifold E onto manifold X, L: $TX \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function, α: $I \to X$ be a smooth map from interval I to X. Then α is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with Lagrangian L if and only if any lifting $\tilde{\alpha}$ of α is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with Lagrangian $L \circ Tp$.*

Remark 2.2. *The existence of such a lifting is almost the definition of covering space.*

In view of Proposition 2.2, by finding all solutions to the Euler-Lagrange equation [6, p. 58] associated with Lagrange $\tilde{L}$ in Proposition 2.1 and then composing them with $\bar{q}$, we get all solutions to the equation of motion for the $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level n.

From Proposition 2.1 one can see that the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with $\tilde{L}$ is $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(4X^2\dot{X}) = \tilde{E}\frac{2X}{X^2}$ or

$$\left(X^2\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)^2 X = \frac{\tilde{E}}{2}\,X. \tag{2.4}$$

Let us fix a solution to the equation of motion for the $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level n, then the total energy E is a constant of motion, and so is $\tilde{E} = E$. With this in mind we can solve Eq. (2.4) in three cases according as the total energy E is negative, zero or positive.

2.1. **The case $E < 0$.** In this case we introduce variable

$$\tau = \sqrt{\frac{-E}{2}}\int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{t}}{X(\tilde{t})^2}.$$

Then τ is an increasing smooth function of t and Eq. (2.4) becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2X}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} + X = 0,$$

so X is of the form

$$X(t(\tau)) = \cos\tau\, u + \sin\tau\, v \tag{2.5}$$

for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_*$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Substituting this solution to equation $E = 2X^2\dot{X}^2 - \frac{1}{X^2}$, we get

$$E = -\frac{1}{u^2+v^2}.$$

Although τ is a complicated function of t, t can be quiet explicitly expressed as a function of τ:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{-E}}\int_0^\tau X(t(\tilde{\tau}))^2\,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\tau} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{-E}}\int_0^\tau \left(\cos\tilde{\tau}\,u + \sin\tilde{\tau}\,v\right)^2\,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\tau} \\ &= \sqrt{2(u^2+v^2)}\left(\frac{u^2+v^2}{2}\tau + \frac{u^2-v^2}{4}\sin(2\tau) + \frac{u\cdot v}{2}\left(1-\cos(2\tau)\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

2.2. **The case $E = 0$.** In this case we introduce variable

$$\tau = \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{X(s)^2}.$$

Then τ is an increasing smooth function of t and Eq. (2.4) becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2X}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} = 0,$$

so X is of the form

$$X(t(\tau)) = u + \tau v \tag{2.6}$$

for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_*$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Substituting this solution to equation $0 = E = 2X^2\dot{X}^2 - \frac{1}{X^2}$, we get $v^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Again t is a simple increasing function of τ:

$$t = u^2\tau + u\cdot v\,\tau^2 + \frac{1}{6}\tau^3.$$

2.3. **The case $E > 0$.** In this case we introduce variable

$$\tau = \sqrt{\frac{E}{2}} \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{X(s)^2}.$$

Then τ is an increasing smooth function of t and Eq. (2.4) becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 X}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2} - X = 0,$$

so X is of the form

$$X(t(\tau)) = \cosh\tau\, u + \sinh\tau\, v \tag{2.7}$$

for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_*$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Substituting this solution to equation $E = 2X^2\dot{X}^2 - \frac{1}{X^2}$, we get

$$E = \frac{1}{v^2 - u^2}.$$

Since $E > 0$, we must have $v^2 > u^2$ in solution (2.7). Again, t is a simple increasing function of τ:

$$t \;=\; \sqrt{2(v^2-u^2)}\left(\frac{u^2-v^2}{2}\tau + \frac{u^2+v^2}{4}\sinh(2\tau) + \frac{u\cdot v}{2}\left(\cosh(2\tau)-1\right)\right).$$

The above analysis, when combined with Proposition 2.2, yields all solutions to the equation of motion of the $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level n, though the dependence on time t is only implicitly given. Moreover, for any solution $X(t)$ to Eq. (2.4) we have obtained above, one can check that the image of the map $t \mapsto q(X(t))$ always lies inside a real plane inside $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, in combination with Proposition 2.2, the above analysis implies

Theorem 1. *For the $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level n, the following statements are true.*

1) A trajectory is always the intersection of the space $\mathcal{C}_1$ with a real plane inside $\mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and it is bounded or unbounded according as the total energy E is negative or not.

2) A bounded trajectory can be parametrized as $\alpha(\tau) = q(\cos\tau\, u + \sin\tau\, v)$ for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_*$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, any parametrized curve of this form is a bounded trajectory with negative total energy $E = -\frac{1}{u^2+v^2}$.

3) An unbounded trajectory with zero total energy can be parametrized as $\alpha(\tau) = q(u + v\tau)$ for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_*$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $v^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, any parametrized curve of this form is a trajectory with zero total energy.

4) An unbounded trajectory with positive total energy can be parametrized as $\alpha(\tau) = q(\cosh\tau\, u + \sinh\tau\, v)$ for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^n_*$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $v^2 > u^2$. Moreover, any parametrized curve of this form is a trajectory with positive total energy $E = \frac{1}{v^2-u^2}$.

3. Non-colliding trajectories

The interesting trajectories are the non-colliding ones, i.e., the ones such that in their parametrization $\alpha(\tau)$ given in theorem 1, $\alpha(\tau) \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathrm{H}_n(\mathbb{R})$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. It is evident that if v is a scalar multiple of u in theorem 1, then $\alpha(\tau) = \mathbf{0}$ for some finite value of τ and it is not hard to check that the converse is also true. Therefore, applied to non-colliding trajectories only, theorem 1 becomes

Theorem 2. *For a non-colliding trajectory of the $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level n, the following statements are true.*

1) It is an ellipse, a parabola or a branch of hyperbola according as the total energy E is negative, zero or positive.

(We assume in the next three statements that the variable τ runs over the entire $\mathbb{R}$.)

2) If it is an ellipse then it can be parametrized as $\alpha(\tau) = q(\cos\tau\, u + \sin\tau\, v)$ for some linearly independent $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, any parametrized curve of this form is an elliptic trajectory with negative total energy $E = -\frac{1}{u^2+v^2}$.

3) If it is a parabola then it can be parametrized as $\alpha(\tau) = q(u + v\tau)$ for some linearly independent $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, any parametrized curve of this form is a parabolic trajectory with zero total energy.

4) If it is a branch of hyperbola then it can be parametrized as $\alpha(\tau) = q(\cosh\tau\, u + \sinh\tau\, v)$ for some linearly independent $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $v^2 > u^2$. Moreover, any parametrized curve of this form is a hyperbolic trajectory with positive total energy $E = \frac{1}{v^2-u^2}$.

Note that, in statement 3) of Theorem 2 the condition $v^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ is no longer needed because one can rescale v due to the fact that $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{O}(1)$ be the quotient group of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ by the normal subgroup consisting of the identity matrix of order n and its negative. Since the standard linear action of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) acts transitively on the set of linearly independent pairs of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Theorem 2 implies the following

Theorem 3. *For the $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler problem at level n, the group $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{O}(1)$ acts transitively on both set of oriented elliptic trajectories and the set of oriented parabolic trajectories.*

This theorem is a direct analogue of parts 3) and 4) in Theorem 2 of Ref. [7]. Note that, when and only when n is odd, $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{O}(1)$ is the orientation-preserving linear automorphism group of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

REFERENCES

[1] G. W. Meng, "Generalized Kepler Problems I: Without Magnetic Charge", *J. Math. Phys.* **54**, 012109(2013).
[2] G. W. Meng, "The $\mathrm{O}(1)$-Kepler Problems", *J. Math. Phys.* **49**, 102111 (2008).
[3] G. W. Meng, "The Universal Kepler Problem", *J. Geom. Symm. Phys.* **36** (2014) 47-57.
[4] T. Levi-Civita, "Sur la régularisation du problème des trois corps", *Acta Math.* **42** (1920), no. 1, 99-144.
[5] T. Iwai, "On a 'conformal' Kepler problem and its reduction", *J. Math. Phys.* **22** (1981), no. 8, 1633-1639.
[6] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Springer, second Edition, 1989.
[7] G. W. Meng, "Lorentz group and oriented McIntosh-Cisneros-Zwanziger-Kepler orbits", *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 052901 (2012).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG UNIV. OF SCI. AND TECH., CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG

E-mail address: mameng@ust.hk